

MINI, MATCHING AND COLLABORATIVE GRANT SCHEME PROGRAMME



COLLABORATIVE GRANT SCHEME Program

Review Guidelines

Version 8.0 December 12, 2023

Review process time frame¹:

	Submission deadline	
<u>Approximate</u> <u>duration</u>	Administrative and Eligibility check (IF)	1 week
	Pre-selection of Applications	5-6 weeks
	Financing Decision	4-5 weeks

¹ The given time frames are an approximation. The IF reserves the right to modify the time frames. All participants in the process will be notified in due time.



MINI, MATCHING AND COLLABORATIVE GRANT SCHEME PROGRAMME



COLLABORATIVE GRANT SCHEME Program

REVIEW PROCESS

The Innovation Fund (IF) policy is intended to ensure that Applications submitted to the IF are evaluated in line with the timeline prescribed by this document and respecting the principles of transparency, equal treatment, non-discrimination, proportionality and absence of conflict of interest.

Therefore, the review process is organized in the following way:

- Administrative and eligibility check of all Applications received;
- Pre-selection of Applications (including the technical review by Peer-Reviewers and the preselection decision by the independent Investment Committee);
- Environmental review of all preselected Applications;
- Financing Decision by the independent Investment Committee.

In the following sections, each step of the review process is described in detail.

1. ADMINISTRATIVE AND ELIGIBILITY CHECKS FOR ALL RECEIVED APPLICATIONS

The IF staff conducts a desk review of the eligibility and administrative completeness of all received Applications to ensure their compliance with Program requirements. Administrative and eligibility checks phase last for approximately one week.

Each Application received until the deadline for submission of Applications, will be reviewed for eligibility using the following criteria:

- The consortium's *Lead Applicant must be a private sector micro, small or medium company*² *incorporated in Serbia, in accordance with the current Serbian Company Law in effect,* with operating annual revenue of at least EUR 300,000 (for the last fiscal year or for the current year, if applicable) and operates with profit ;
- The consortium must include at least one public (state-owned) Scientific Research Organization registered and accredited in Serbia on the list of accredited scientific and research organizations provided by Ministry of education available at: https://mpn.gov.rs/nauka/nauka-i-istrazivanje-u-srbiji/naucnoistrazivacke-organizacije/, as Lead Co-Applicant, relevant to the field of industry and technology identified in the proposed project.

Besides the mandatory Lead Applicant and Lead Co-Applicant described above, the consortium can include other domestic and foreign micro and small private sector companies, domestic and foreign Scientific Research Organizations and Serbian university/faculty innovation centers as Co-Applicants,

² In accordance with the current Serbian Law on accounting.



MINI, MATCHING AND COLLABORATIVE GRANT SCHEME PROGRAMME



COLLABORATIVE GRANT SCHEME Program

as long as their engagement is justified by the development needs of the Project Proposal. The consortium cannot have more than five (5) members.

Co-Applicants affiliated (either through capital or legal links) with either the Lead Applicant or Lead Co-Applicant can be engaged in the consortium, but the engagement needs to be justified by the affiliated entity's role in the project's development activities.

Applicants that meet any of the following criteria are <u>NOT eligible</u> to apply for the COLLABORATIVE GRANT SCHEME Program:

- Applicant has received financing from any other IF financing program for the same or similar activities;
- Applicant is considered to be an affiliated entity³ of a large company⁴ (domestic or foreign) or is 10% or more owned by a large company (domestic or foreign);
- Lead Applicant has received more than EUR 1,000,000 of grant financing under all IF programs including Mini Grants Program, Matching Grants Program, Collaborative Grant Scheme Program, TT Program and others) over the lifetime of the Applicant and all of its past, present and future affiliated entities⁵ (including its subsidiaries, spinoffs or affiliated companies which have changed their trade name). To clarify, Lead Applicants can receive a cumulative maximum of EUR 1,000,000 of grant financing under all IF programs over the lifetime of the Applicant including all of its past, present and future affiliated entities and including any award under this Call;
- Lead Applicant currently has an active project which is being implemented through any of the IF's financing programs;
- Any of the founders are majority owners and/or are in control of a company that is implementing a project financed under the IF's grant programs* at the time of Application submission;
- Any of the founders are majority owners and/or are in control in more than one company that is submitting an Application or is a co-applicant under the IF grant programs*;
- Key personnel (key project staff) individual is limited to one Application under the current call for proposals, regardless of the Program. Accordingly, the Applications where the same individual is part of multiple key personnel will be disqualified from the further evaluation process. This criteria does not apply to Lead Co-Applicant Key personnel (key project staff)

³ As defined by the Serbian Company Law and the Law on Protection of Competition.

⁴ In accordance with the current Serbian Law on accounting.

⁵ Affiliation is determined on the conditions stipulated in the applicable Serbian Company Law.



MINI, MATCHING AND COLLABORATIVE GRANT SCHEME PROGRAMME



COLLABORATIVE GRANT SCHEME Program

- Key personnel (key project staff) individuals are limited to one implementing project within Innovation Fund Programs (for private sector companies). Accordingly, the Application where key personnel are also part of implementing project, within Innovation Fund Programs, will be disqualified from the further evaluation process. This criteria does not apply to Lead Co-Applicant Key personnel (key project staff).
 - * except for innovation vouchers and GovTech program

Note: Control shall imply the right or possibility of one person/entity, either independently or acting together with other persons/entities, to have controlling influence on the operations of another.

The Applicant cannot receive more than **EUR 1,000,000** in total of financing from the IF (includes all IF financing programs) over the lifetime of the company applying for financing. This maximum amount includes the IF financing awarded to any past, present or future affiliated entity⁶ (including its subsidiaries, spinoffs or affiliated companies which have changed their trade name).

An Applicant is allowed to submit up to three times substantially the same, modified and /or improved Application (i.e., based on essentially the same or similar innovative technology, product or service, and/or business plan) which was considered for evaluation regardless of the IF financing program.

Any Applicant that is resubmitting a modified and/or improved Application which has already been submitted in the past calls for proposals is **mandatory** to fill out the appropriate section for returning projects in the business plan and project presentation documents and to clearly illustrate the progress that was made since the time of the previous application.

Application templates are an integral part of the COLLABORATIVE GRANT SCHEME Program Manual, and Applicants are required to comply with the instructions given in these templates. Failure to comply will result in disqualification from the evaluation process.

An Application will be considered invalid and will not be taken into consideration if the Lead Applicant during the submission period:

- has submitted an Application to any other open call under the IF financing program;
- has an Application in evaluation under any other IF financing program;
- has a selected for financing project under any other IF financing program;
- has an implementing project under any of the IF financing programs.

Applicant is allowed to submit only one Application under the current call for proposals, regardless of the Program (except for innovation vouchers and application for GovTech Program).

The administrative check entails verification by the IF that the Applicant has provided the correct Application document templates as listed in the Program Grant Manual and that they are adequately populated in accordance with instructions given in those document templates.

During this stage, the IF will provide every Applicant whose Application was initially determined to be administratively deficient with a 72-hour window to rectify the identified deficiencies. Ineligible Applicants and Applicants whose Applications did not pass the administrative aspect of the check will

⁶ Affiliation is determined on the conditions stipulated in the applicable Serbian Company Law.



MINI, MATCHING AND COLLABORATIVE GRANT SCHEME PROGRAMME



COLLABORATIVE GRANT SCHEME Program

be notified of their ineligibility by email, after the IF completes the administrative and eligibility check of all submitted Applications.

If an Applicant wishes to file a fact-based objection to the outcome of the administrative and eligibility check (citing evident omissions, oversights or mistakes made by the IF staff), they may submit a formal letter of objection (email form is acceptable) to the IF within 8 calendar days from the day of receiving the original eligibility review decision notification. The IF will provide an official response to the formal letter on the objection within 14 calendar days from receiving the objection.

The output of the administrative and eligibility check is the final list of eligible Applications for the given public call.

2. PRE-SELECTION OF APPLICATIONS

EVALUATION CRITERIA

The Applications are evaluated based on the following criteria:

- Management and key personnel credentials and ability of the consortium to deliver;
- Quality and collaborative potential of the consortium members;
- Innovative technology, product or service; clear IP position and potential;
- Clear market need, competitive (preferably global or EU) position and commercialization potential;
- Level of co-financing, use of funds and adequacy of the project budget;
- Well-developed organizational design and project management system (including clear roles, responsibilities, authorities and accountabilities (R2A2s) for all consortia members and well-designed stage gate review process and risk management strategy).

The following evaluation grid will be used by the peer reviewers and the Investment Committee (the questions in the second column should serve as a general guidance to the peer reviewers and the Investment Committee when assessing each of the criteria):

Management and key personnel credentials and ability of the consortium to deliver	•	Does the management team and key personnel have adequate skills, education, knowledge, track record and experience to execute the proposed project?	Maximum score: 4
Quality and collaborative potential of the consortium members	•	How do the consortium members fit together and complement the project's development needs? Do all members have relevant roles and bring specific value to the consortium?	Maximum score: 4



MINI, MATCHING AND COLLABORATIVE GRANT SCHEME PROGRAMME



THE PROJECT IS CO-FUNDED BY THE EUROPEAN UNION COLLABORATIVE GRANT SCHEME Program

ЗАТЕБЕ

Innovative technology, product or service; clear IP position and potential	 Is improvement of existing technologies, products or services proposed? To what extent does the proposal suggest and explore unique concepts or applications? Does the proposal lead to enabling technologies for further discoveries? Does the proposed technology, product or service have potential for creation of new IP? Does the Applicant have full ownership/rights to develop and use the technology/product or service being developed? Does the Applicant's technology, product or service infringe or violate IP rights of others? 	Maximum score: 4
Clear market need, competitive (preferably global or EU) position and commercialization potential	 Does the proposed approach have potential to lead to a marketable technology, product or process? What is the specific market for the technology, product or process? Is it a growing market? Are customers/users definable? Evaluate the competitive advantage of this technology vs. alternate technologies that can meet the same market needs. Is the technology, product or service competitive and what are the competitive threats? Preference will be given to globally competitive technologies, products and services. 	Maximum score: 4
Level of co-financing, use of funds and adequacy of the project budget	 Are the items listed in the budget in line with the proposed activities (e.g. man hours, materials)? Are the proposed costs realistic? Would the budget benefit from any alterations or corrections (e.g. more funds allocated to IP protection, reducing consultancy costs)? Structure of co-financing. 	Maximum score: 4
Well-developed organizational design and project management system (including clear R2A2s for all consortia members and well- designed stage gate review process and risk management strategy)	 Are the overall methodology and activities well-reasoned and appropriate to accomplish the proposed development of the technology, product or service? Are roles, responsibilities, authorities and accountabilities clearly defined? Is the organizational structure of the consortium aligned with the project's development goals? Is there sufficient access to resources (materials and supplies, analytical services, equipment, facilities, etc.) to bring the project to successful conclusion? 	Maximum score: 4
MAXIMUM TOTAL SCORE		24



MINI, MATCHING AND COLLABORATIVE GRANT SCHEME PROGRAMME



COLLABORATIVE GRANT SCHEME Program

The total score for an Application is the sum of the scores given to each criterion, producing a maximum of 24 points.

SCORE	MEANING
1	Poor
2	Acceptable
3	Good
4	Excellent

Comments for each criterion must correspond in a coherent and consistent manner with the scores awarded for that same criterion.

FIRST PHASE OF THE PRESELECTION OF APPLICATIONS: TECHNICAL PEER REVIEW

The first phase of review within the pre-selection of applications includes a technical peer review carried out by international peer reviewers. IF Advisors/ Associates are responsible for ensuring that each Application receives an objective and fair technical peer review, and that all applicable laws, regulations, and policies are followed. The type of peer review utilized is the blind review, with the name of the reviewer unknown to both the Applicant and the IC members.

The roles and responsibilities of parties engaged in this phase are as follows:

IF Advisors/Associates:

- Provide the Peer reviewers with the Declaration of impartiality and confidentiality to sign before assigning them the Applications to review;
- Assign at least two appropriate peer reviewers to each eligible Application based on the corresponding field of industry and science, so that the peer reviewers can conduct their written evaluation and assign individual scores for each criterion;
- Document and manage potential conflicts of interest;
- Attend and oversee all administrative and regulatory aspects of peer review.

Peer Reviewers:

• Sign the Declaration of impartiality and confidentiality as provided by the IF;



MINI, MATCHING AND COLLABORATIVE GRANT SCHEME PROGRAMME



COLLABORATIVE GRANT SCHEME Program

- Receive access to the Applications for review through the IF Portal;
- Examine the Review Guidelines and instructions;
- Within two days of receiving access to the Applications to review, examine each assigned Application to verify that the proposals match the reviewers' area of expertise and inform the IF Advisors/Associates of any concerns;
- Review all Applications assigned to them for conflicts of interest or the appearance of conflicts of interest in accordance with the IF Confidentiality and Prevention of Conflict of Interest Policy and inform the IF Advisors/Associates if any issues exist;
- Within 3 weeks of receiving access to Applications, prepare a written evaluation (using the online Peer Review Evaluation Form on the IF Portal) for each Application assigned, based on the defined evaluation criteria and judgment of merit. The peer review must give concise, pertinent and well justified comments for each criteria of the evaluation grid, in a wording that may be given directly to the Applicant, if requested. Strong and weak points must be reflected. In particular, comments should not include only the summary of the Application, but should provide short critical analysis in line with (but not limited to) the respective questions of the evaluation grid;
- Assign a numerical score (from 1 to 4, with 1 being the poorest and 4 being the best) to each evaluation criterion;
- Complete and submit the Peer Review Evaluation Form for each Application assigned to the IF online application portal.

Scoring

Peer Reviewers will consider each of the evaluation criteria and give a separate score for each criterion. The scoring system utilizes a 4-point rating scale (4 = excellent; 3 = good; 2 = acceptable; 1 = poor). The total score for an Application is the sum of the scores given to each criterion, producing a maximum of 24 points. All projects will be scored based on the average score of at least two peer-reviews and ranked accordingly for the IC. Scores between 1 and 4 (not 0) must be given. Half points are not accepted.

Based on the previously mentioned need for consistency between peer reviewer comments and scoring, the peer reviewer must avoid scenarios where they present a high score combined with critical or negative comments or a low score accompanied by positive comments. Should the IF establish that the Peer Reviewer has not provided sufficiently elaborate comments to justify the claims and conclusions made for any of the evaluation criteria, the IF reserves the right to ask of the Peer Reviewer to expand upon their comments and supplement their opinion with additional explanations.

Peer Reviewer comments and scoring represents the output of this phase and constitutes only a segment of the evaluation within the preselection phase.



MINI, MATCHING AND COLLABORATIVE GRANT SCHEME PROGRAMME



COLLABORATIVE GRANT SCHEME Program

SECOND PHASE OF PRESELECTION OF APPLICATIONS: INDEPENDENT INVESTMENT COMMITTEE REVIEW

The second phase of review is carried out by the independent Investment Committee (IC) and administered by the IF Advisors/Associates. IF Advisors/Associates are responsible for ensuring that each Application receives an objective review, and that all applicable laws, regulations, and policies are followed. The IF Advisors/Associates will assign the Applications to the Investment Committee at the same time as they assign the Applications to the Peer Reviewers.

IF Advisors/Associates:

- Provide the IC members with the Declaration of impartiality and confidentiality to sign before assigning them the Applications to review;
- Attend and oversee administrative and regulatory aspects of the IC meetings;
- Document and manage potential conflicts of interest situations;
- Ensure that all the documentation including Peer Review comments and scoring is available to the IC on the IF online application portal or via email;
- Assign projects to each lead IC member based on their area of expertise;
- Take meeting minutes during the IC meetings;
- Prepare Pre-selection evaluation report, based on the IC Decision on pre-selection.

Investment Committee Members:

- Sign the Declaration of impartiality and confidentiality as provided by the IF;
- Receive access to the Applications for review through the IF Portal;
- Examine the Review Guidelines and instructions;
- Review all Applications for conflicts of interest or the appearance of conflicts of interest in accordance with the IF Confidentiality and Prevention of Conflict of Interest Policy and inform the IF Advisors/Associates if any issues exist;
- Within 4 weeks of receiving the Applications, prepare for discussions at the Investment Committee preselection meeting;
- IC members will summarize the Applications assigned to them and discuss each Application's merits during the preselection meeting, taking into account the Peer Reviewer comments;
- Assign a numerical score in the scoring table supplied by the IF (from 1 to 4, with 1 being the poorest and 4 being the best) for each of the Applications and each evaluation criterion, including written evaluation for each Application assigned (please see details below).

After the IC assigns numerical scores for all Applications in the scoring table supplied by the IF (which already includes individual and average numerical scores from Peer Reviewers for each Application)



MINI, MATCHING AND COLLABORATIVE GRANT SCHEME PROGRAMME



COLLABORATIVE GRANT SCHEME Program

during the preselection meeting, the scoring table will display the final scoring for all Applications based on the following scoring formula:

- Average Peer Reviewer (APR) score is weighted with 30%
- IC score is weighted with 70%
- Final score = APR $\times 0.3 + IC \times 0.7$

The threshold for an Application to be preselected is 18 points (75% of the maximum score, which is 24).

Following the finalization of the scoring table, the IC will confirm the Applications which will advance to the next phase of the review process, which is the Financing decision phase.

At the conclusion of the preselection phase, the IC will:

- Prepare a written evaluation (using the online Investment Committee Pre-Selection Form on the IF Portal) for each Application assigned, based on the defined evaluation criteria and judgment of merit. The IC must give concise, pertinent and well justified comments for each criteria of the evaluation grid, in a wording that will be given directly to the Applicant. Strong and weak points must be reflected. In particular, comments should not include only the summary of the Application, but should provide a critical analysis in line with (but not limited to) the respective questions of the evaluation grid;
- Complete and submit the Investment Committee Pre-Selection Form for each Application on the IF portal and assign the appropriate status (preselected or not preselected) for each of the assigned Applications on the IF online application portal.

Feedback to the Applicants whose Applications were not preselected will include IC comments for each of the evaluation criteria.

The Applicants whose Applications are preselected will be notified about the IC decision via email. For each Applicant, the IC will prepare specific questions which will be used to steer the discussion during the presentations on the final pitch event. These questions will first be sent to the IF following the preselection decision and the IF will distribute them to each preselected Applicant, after which these Applicants are obligated to incorporate the answers to these questions into a PowerPoint presentation template provided by the IF and send it back to the IF at least seven (7) days before the date set by the IF for the final pitch event.

The output of this phase is the "Decision on pre-selection", signed by the members of the IC or the IC member authorized by the IC, and the Pre-selection evaluation report whose integral part is the "Decision on pre-selection".

If an Applicant wishes to file a fact-based objection to the outcome of the preselection decision (citing evident omissions or mistakes made by the Investment Committee or the IF staff), they may submit a formal letter of objection to the IF, written in English and not exceeding 500 words within 8 calendar days from the day of receiving the original preselection decision notification. The IF will provide an official response to the objection within 14 calendar days from receiving the objection.



MINI, MATCHING AND COLLABORATIVE GRANT SCHEME PROGRAMME



COLLABORATIVE GRANT SCHEME Program

3. ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

Once the IC makes the preselection decision, all Applications which were pre-selected will undergo a screening by External environmental and social management provider (ESMP) to verify compliance with the IF Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF).

The environmental review consists of assessment of the Environmental Screening Questionnaires (ESQ) for all pre-selected Application. The pre-selected Applicants will be informed about the results of the ESQ assessment only if the Environmental Management Plan (EMP) is required. When an Application (project) requires the preparation of the EMP (Category B), it is the responsibility of the Applicant (who has at that time been informed by the IF that the financing for the Applicant's project was approved) to prepare the EMP and submit it to the ESMP for approval as one of the prerequisites for signing the Financing Agreement. The guidance on the content of the EMP is provided in the Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF) available on-line:

http://www.inovacionifond.rs/en/programs/collaborative-grant-scheme-program/cgsdocumentation-december-2023

Environmental and Social Management Framework specifies environmental and social procedures for implemented projects to adhere to, which are consistent with Serbian national legislation. In cases where an Application requires an EMP and the Applicant does not prepare it, that Application would become ineligible for financing. Additionally, all preselected Applications which are categorized as type <u>A</u> projects according to the ESMF are considered automatically ineligible for financing under this program.

IF Advisors/Associates:

- Provide the ESMP with the Declaration of impartiality and confidentiality to sign before assigning them the Applications to review for environmental assessment;
- Document and manage potential conflicts of interest situations;
- Assign the preselected Applications to the ESMP and provide access to Application documents required for assessment;
- Assign the appropriate Environmental status on the IF Portal following the completion of the assessment conducted by IESME.

External environmental and social management provider (ESMP):

- Sign the Declaration of impartiality and confidentiality as provided by the IF;
- Review all assigned preselected Applications for conflicts of interest or the appearance of conflicts of interest in accordance with the IF Confidentiality and Prevention of Conflict of Interest Policy and inform the IF Advisors/Associates if any issues exist;
- Assess all assigned preselected Applications, categorize and verify compliance of corresponding ESQs with the Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF);



MINI, MATCHING AND COLLABORATIVE GRANT SCHEME PROGRAMME



COLLABORATIVE GRANT SCHEME Program

- Sign ESQs of all assigned preselected Applications, thus confirming their ESMF categorization and compliance.
- For all assigned preselected Applications which require EMPs, provide guidance to the Applicants to formulate the appropriate EMPs and verify that the final EMP is compliant with the Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF).

The output of this phase is a table containing the Environmental status for preselected projects, signed by the ESMP.

4. FINANCING DECISION

All Applicants which have been previously pre-selected by the IF's Investment Committee and satisfied the Environmental screening will present their Applications in front of the independent Investment Committee in person via video conference platform. Based on the results of the live presentation, the Investment Committee will make its final decision. The IC will use the same evaluation criteria stipulated in Section 2 of this document, as well as the same scoring system also found in Section 2.

The IC members will consider and go over applicants financial reports in order to determine companies' ability to secure co-financing for the Project. The IC members will consider each of the evaluation criteria and give a separate score for each. The scoring system utilizes a 4-point rating scale (4 = excellent; 3 = good; 2 = acceptable; 1 = poor). The total score for an Application is the sum of the scores given to each criterion, producing a maximum of 24 points. The IC scoring and comments are to be based on the IC's overall evaluation of the Applications, which includes their holistic assessment of each Application's qualities and an impartial and fact-based judgment of merit.

IF Advisors/Associates:

- Provide participants with a non-disclosure agreement to sign before the beginning of the final pitch event when required;
- Attend and oversee administrative and regulatory aspects of the final pitch event;
- Document and manage potential conflicts of interest situations;
- Prepare the final scoring table for the IC to populate with numerical scores for each Application and each evaluation criterion;
- Take meeting minutes during the final pitch event;
- Prepare the Final evaluation report, based on the IC Financing decision.

Investment Committee Members:

- Give an introductory speech to all groups of Applicants who will present during the final pitch event, explaining the concept of the event and its goals;
- Thoroughly prepare for discussions for each preselected Application which will be presented at the final pitch event;



MINI, MATCHING AND COLLABORATIVE GRANT SCHEME PROGRAMME



COLLABORATIVE GRANT SCHEME Program

- The IC will provide each Applicant with a 20-minute time window to present their Applications to the IC and answer specific questions that the IC may have for each project;
- Following the presentations of preselected Applications, assign a numerical score in the scoring table supplied by the IF (from 1 to 4, with 1 being the poorest and 4 being the best) for each of the Applications and each evaluation criterion;
- Guide the IF to perform the necessary budget clearing for selected for financing projects to optimize the use of available financing in this public call.

After the IC assigns numerical scores for all Applications in the final scoring table supplied by the IF during the final pitch event, the final scoring table will display the final scores for all Applications and sort them from highest to lowest.

Following the finalization of this scoring table and based on the amount of available funds, the IC will confirm the Applications which will be selected for financing, and will also:

- Prepare a written evaluation (using the online Investment Committee Financing Decision Form on the IF Portal) for each Application assigned, based on the defined evaluation criteria and judgment of merit. The IC must give concise, pertinent and well justified comments for each criteria of the evaluation grid, in a wording that will be given directly to the Applicant. Strong and weak points must be reflected. In particular, comments should not include only the summary of the Application, but should provide a critical analysis in line with (but not limited to) the respective questions of the evaluation grid;
- Define the mandatory milestones for each individual approved project following the live pitch event;
- Submit the Investment Committee Financing Decision Form for each Application on the IF portal and assign the appropriate status (Approved or Not approved) for each Application.

Feedback to all Applicants which have presented their Applications on the final pitch event will include the IC written comments from the Financing Decision Form. Following the Investment Committee's decision to award financing, the Applicant will be notified about the positive decision on financing and subsequently offered to sign the Financing Agreement. In case any significant changes arise within the structure of a Project that was approved for financing before the signing of the Financing Agreement, the independent Investment Committee reserves the right to alter and adjust its decision in accordance with these changes.

The IF will also notify all pre-selected Applicants whose projects were not awarded about the outcome of the final phase of the evaluation process and they will receive the Investment Committee's comments for their project, for each evaluation criterion.

While making the final decision on financing, the IC will take into consideration the total amount of available funds for the public call. If the number of quality Applications that the IC would approve for funding exceeds the total available budget allocated for a particular public call, three (3) projects with the highest scores from the list of those Applications which were preselected, but not ultimately



MINI, MATCHING AND COLLABORATIVE GRANT SCHEME PROGRAMME



COLLABORATIVE GRANT SCHEME Program

approved for financing will be considered as reserve projects. These Applications are to be subsequently offered financing by the IF should any of the originally approved ones fail to sign the Financing Agreement.

The output of this phase is the "Financing Decision", signed by the members of the IC or the IC member authorized by the IC, and the Final evaluation report whose integral part is the "Final Decision".