



Review Guidelines

Version 13.1
September 15, 2022

Updated in accordance with the Program Manual changes:
October 28, 2022

Review process time frame¹:

	<i>Submission deadline</i>	
<u>Approximate duration</u>	<u>Administrative and Eligibility Check (IF)</u>	1 week
	<u>Pre-selection of Applications</u>	5-6 weeks
	<u>Financing Decision</u>	4-5 weeks

¹ The given time frames are an approximation. The IF reserves the right to modify the time frames. All participants in the process will be notified in due time.

REVIEW PROCESS

The Innovation Fund (IF) policy is intended to ensure that Applications submitted to the IF are evaluated in line with the timeline prescribed by this document and respecting the principles of transparency, equal treatment, non-discrimination, proportionality and absence of conflict of interest.

Therefore, the review process is organized in the following way:

- Administrative and eligibility check of all Applications received
- Pre-selection of Applications (including the technical review by Peer-Reviewers and the pre-selection decision by the independent Investment Committee)
- Environmental review of all preselected Applications
- Financing Decision by the independent Investment Committee

In the following sections, each step of the review process is described in detail.

1. ADMINISTRATIVE AND ELIGIBILITY CHECKS FOR ALL RECEIVED APPLICATIONS

The IF staff conducts a desk review of the eligibility and administrative completeness of all received Applications to ensure their compliance with Program requirements. Administrative and eligibility checks phase last for approximately one week.

Each Application received until the deadline for submission of the Applications, will be reviewed for eligibility using the following criteria:

- The Applicant is a legal business unit, incorporated under applicable/current Serbian Company Law, registered at the Serbian Business Registration Agency (SBRA) and located in Serbia;
 - The Applicant is a private sector (at least 51% privately owned), micro- or small-company²;
 - Applicant has been incorporated for no longer than five (5) years at the time of Application submission;
 - The Applicant in majority ownership (at least 51%) of Serbian residents³;
- or**
- The Applicant is a team made of up to 5 core members (physical persons).

Companies that meet any of the following criteria are NOT eligible to apply for the MINI GRANTS Program:

- Has raised EUR 500,000 or more in total financing (equity, debt or grant) from public or private sources;

² In accordance with the current Law on Accounting of the Republic of Serbia, including newly founded companies as stipulated in the same law.

³ In accordance with the current Law on personal income tax. The Applicants might be required to submit the proof of residency for its owners.



MINI GRANTS Program

- Has revenue of EUR 2,500,000 or higher in any of prior 3 years, or is in more than 25% ownership by private entity that fulfills this criterion (This does not include VC or PE funds or similar investing entities);
- Has received financing from any other IF financing program for the same activities;
- Is considered to be an affiliated entity⁴ or is 10% or more owned by of a large company⁵ (domestic or foreign);
- Any of the founders are majority owners and/or are in control of a company that is implementing a project financed under the IF's financing programs* at the time of Application submission;
- Any of the founders are majority owners and/or are in control in more than one company that is submitting an Application or is a co-applicant under the IF financing programs**.

* Except of innovation vouchers and companies whose activities are financed under the Smart Start program.

** Except of innovation vouchers.

Note: Control shall imply the right or possibility of one person/entity, either independently or acting together with other persons/entities, to have controlling influence on the operations of another entity through an equity interest in share capital, an agreement or a right to appoint the majority of directors or Supervisory Board members, in line with the applicable Serbian Company Law.

The Applicant cannot receive more than **EUR 800,000** in total of financing from the IF (includes all IF financing programs) over the lifetime of the Company applying for financing. This maximum amount includes the IF financing awarded to any past, present or future affiliated entity⁶ (including its subsidiaries, spinoffs or affiliated companies which have changed their trade name).

In cases where Applicants are teams, and therefore are not incorporated as companies at the time of applying, aforementioned rule is applied as follows: in case that any of the core team members is majority owner and/or has control rights over the company⁷ that has been awarded financing under any of the IF programs (or was majority owner or had control rights over the company at the time when financing was awarded), all these previously awarded amounts count toward the maximum amount of EUR 800,000.

An Applicant is allowed to submit up to three times substantially the same, modified and /or improved Application (i.e., based on essentially the same or similar innovative technology, product or service, and/or business plan) regardless of the IF financing program.

Any Applicant that is resubmitting a modified and/or improved Application which has already been submitted in the past calls for proposals is **mandatory** to fill out the appropriate section for returning projects in the business plan and project presentation documents and to clearly illustrate the progress that was made since the time of the previous application.

⁴ As defined by the Serbian Company Law.

⁵ In accordance with the current Serbian Law on accounting.

⁶ Affiliation is determined on the conditions stipulated in the applicable Serbian Company Law.

⁷ Control shall imply the right or possibility of one person/entity, either independently or acting together with other persons/entities, to have controlling influence on the operations of another entity through an equity interest in share capital, an agreement or a right to appoint the majority of directors or Supervisory Board members, in line with the applicable Serbian Company Law.

MINI GRANTS Program

Application templates are an integral part of the Mini Grants Program Manual, and Applicants are required to comply with the instructions given in these templates. Failure to comply will result in disqualification from the evaluation process.

An Application will be considered invalid and will not be taken into consideration if the Applicant during the submission period:

- has submitted an Application to any other open call under the IF financing program (eg. Katapult Accelerator);
- has an Application in evaluation under any other IF financing program;
- has a selected for financing project under any other IF financing program;
- has an implementing project under any of the IF financing programs*.

* Except companies who have completed 3rd Delivery/MVP report (approved by the IF) within Smart Start program.

Applicant is allowed to submit only one Application under the current call for proposals, regardless of the Program. The administrative check entails verification by the IF that the Applicant has provided the correct Application document templates as listed in the Program Grant Manual and that they are adequately populated in accordance with instructions given in those document templates.

During this stage, the IF will provide every Applicant whose Application was initially determined to be administratively deficient with a 72-hour window to rectify the identified deficiencies. Ineligible Applicants and Applicants whose Applications did not pass the administrative aspect of the check will be notified of their ineligibility by email, after the IF completes the administrative and eligibility check of all submitted Applications.

If an Applicant wishes to file a fact-based objection to the outcome of the administrative and eligibility check (citing evident omissions, oversights or mistakes made by the IF staff), they may submit a formal letter of objection to the IF, written in English and not exceeding 500 words within 8 calendar days from the day of receiving the original eligibility review decision notification. The IF will provide an official response to the formal letter on the objection within 14 calendar days from receiving the objection.

The output of the administrative and eligibility check is the final list of eligible Applications for the given public call.

2. PRE-SELECTION OF APPLICATIONS

EVALUATION CRITERIA

The Applications are evaluated based on the following criteria:

1. Management and key personnel credentials and ability of the company/team to deliver;
2. Innovative technology, product or service; usefulness and uniqueness of the innovation; clear IP position;
3. Clear market need and commercialization potential;
4. Potential for revenue/partnership with a strategic partner within two to three (2-3) years after the project start;

MINI GRANTS Program

5. Viable implementation methodology and capabilities;
6. Use of funds and adequacy of the project budget;
7. Technology and implementation risk management.

The following evaluation grid will be used by the peer reviewers and the Investment Committee (the questions in the second column should serve as a general guidance to the peer reviewers and the Investment Committee when assessing each of the criteria):

Management and key personnel credentials and ability of the company/team to deliver	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does the management team and key personnel have adequate skills, education, knowledge, track record and experience to execute the proposed project? 	Maximum score: 4
Innovative technology, product or service; usefulness and uniqueness of the innovation; clear IP position	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will the project result in improvement of existing technologies, products or services? • To what extent does the project suggest and explore unique concepts or applications? • Does the project lead to enabling technologies for further discoveries? • Does the proposed technology, product or service have potential for creation of new IP? • Does the Applicant have full ownership/rights to develop and use the technology/product or service being developed? • Does the Applicant's technology, product or service infringe or violate IP rights of others? 	Maximum score: 4
Clear market need and commercialization potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does the proposed approach have potential to lead to a marketable technology, product or process? • What is the specific market for the technology, product or process? Is it a growing market? Are customers/users definable? • Evaluate the competitive advantage of this technology vs. alternate technologies that can meet the same market needs. • Is the technology, product or service competitive and what are the competitive threats? • Preference should be given to globally competitive technologies, products and services. 	Maximum score: 4
Potential for revenue/partnership with a strategic partner within two to three (2-3) years after the project start	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does the proposed technology, product or service have potential to generate revenue within 2-3 years after the project start? • Is the estimate of the target market realistic and who are expected to be the main competitors? 	Maximum score: 4
Viable implementation methodology and capabilities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is the proposed plan a sound approach leading towards commercialization? • Are the overall methodology and activities well-reasoned and appropriate to accomplish the proposed development of the technology, product or service? • Is there sufficient access to resources (materials and supplies, analytical services, equipment, facilities, etc.) to bring the project to successful conclusion? 	Maximum score: 4



MINI GRANTS Program

Use of funds and adequacy of the project budget	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are the items listed in the budget in line with the proposed activities (e.g. man hours, materials)? • Are the proposed costs realistic? • Would the budget benefit from any alterations or corrections (e.g. more funds allocated to IP protection, reducing consultancy costs)? • Does the Applicant demonstrate sufficient commitment with the level of own co-financing? 	Maximum score: 4
Technology and implementation risk management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are potential implementation problems, risks, and proposed solutions clearly identified and presented? • Will the proposed approach establish the feasibility and will the particularly higher risk aspects likely to be managed appropriately? 	Maximum score: 4
MAXIMUM TOTAL SCORE		28

The total score for an Application is the sum of the scores given to each criterion, producing a maximum of 28 points.

SCORE	MEANING
1	poor
2	acceptable
3	good
4	excellent

Comments for each criterion must correspond in a coherent and consistent manner with the scores awarded for that same criterion.

FIRST PHASE OF THE PRESELECTION OF APPLICATIONS: TECHNICAL PEER REVIEW

The first phase of review within the pre-selection of applications includes a technical peer review carried out by international peer reviewers. IF Program Managers are responsible for ensuring that each Application receives an objective and fair technical peer review, and that all applicable laws, regulations, and policies are followed. The type of peer review utilized is the blind review, with the name of the reviewer unknown to both the Applicant and the IC members.

The roles and responsibilities of parties engaged in this phase are as follows:

IF Program Managers:

MINI GRANTS Program

- Provide the Peer reviewers with the Declaration of impartiality and confidentiality to sign before assigning them the Applications to review;
- Assign at least two appropriate peer reviewers to each eligible Application based on the corresponding field of industry and science, so that the peer reviewers can conduct their written evaluation and assign individual scores for each criterion;
- Document and manage potential conflicts of interest;
- Attend and oversee all administrative and regulatory aspects of peer review.

Peer Reviewers:

- Sign the Declaration of impartiality and confidentiality as provided by the IF;
- Receive access to the Applications for review through the IF Portal;
- Examine the Review Guidelines and instructions;
- Within two days of receiving access to the Applications to review, examine each assigned Application to verify that the projects match the reviewers' area of expertise and inform the IF Program Managers of any concerns;
- Review all Applications assigned to them for conflicts of interest or the appearance of conflicts of interest in accordance with the IF Confidentiality and Prevention of Conflict of Interest Policy and inform the IF Program Managers if any issues exist;
- Within 3 weeks of receiving access to Applications, prepare a written evaluation (using the online Peer Review Evaluation Form on the IF Portal) for each Application assigned, based on the defined evaluation criteria and judgment of merit. The peer review must give concise, pertinent and well justified comments for each criteria of the evaluation grid, in a wording that may be given directly to the Applicant, if requested. Strong and weak points must be reflected. In particular, comments should not include only the summary of the Application, but should provide short critical analysis in line with (but not limited to) the respective questions of the evaluation grid;
- Assign a numerical score (from 1 to 4, with 1 being the poorest and 4 being the best) to each evaluation criterion;
- Complete and submit the Peer Review Evaluation Form for each Application assigned to the IF online application portal.

Scoring

Peer Reviewers will consider each of the evaluation criteria and give a separate score for each criterion. The scoring system utilizes a 4-point rating scale (4 = excellent; 3 = good; 2 = acceptable; 1 = poor). The total score for an Application is the sum of the scores given to each criterion, producing a maximum of 28 points. All projects will be scored based on the average score of at least two peer-reviews and ranked accordingly for the IC. Scores between 1 and 4 (not 0) must be given. Half points are not accepted.

MINI GRANTS Program

Based on the previously mentioned need for consistency between peer reviewer comments and scoring, the peer reviewer must avoid scenarios where they present a high score combined with critical or negative comments or a low score accompanied by positive comments. Should the IF establish that the Peer Reviewer has not provided sufficiently elaborate comments to justify the claims and conclusions made for any of the evaluation criteria, the IF reserves the right to ask of the Peer Reviewer to expand upon their comments and supplement their opinion with additional explanations.

Peer Reviewer comments and scoring represents the output of this phase and constitutes only a segment of the evaluation within the preselection phase.

SECOND PHASE OF PRESELECTION OF APPLICATIONS: INDEPENDENT INVESTMENT COMMITTEE REVIEW

The second phase of review is carried out by the independent Investment Committee (IC) and administered by the IF Program Managers. IF Program Managers are responsible for ensuring that each Application receives an objective review, and that all applicable laws, regulations, and policies are followed. The IF Program Managers will assign the Applications to the Investment Committee at the same time as they assign the Applications to the Peer Reviewers.

IF Program Managers:

- Provide the IC members with the Declaration of impartiality and confidentiality to sign before assigning them the Applications to review;
- Attend and oversee administrative and regulatory aspects of the IC meetings;
- Document and manage potential conflicts of interest situations;
- Ensure that all the documentation including Peer Review comments and scoring is available to the IC on the IF online application portal or via email;
- Assign projects to each IC member;
- Take meeting minutes during the IC meetings;
- Prepare Pre-selection evaluation report, based on the IC Decision on pre-selection.

Investment Committee Members:

- Sign the Declaration of impartiality and confidentiality as provided by the IF;
- Receive access to the Applications for review through the IF Portal;
- Examine the Review Guidelines and instructions;
- Review all Applications for conflicts of interest or the appearance of conflicts of interest in accordance with the IF Confidentiality and Prevention of Conflict of Interest Policy and inform the IF Program Managers if any issues exist;
- Within 4 weeks of receiving the Applications, prepare for discussions at the Investment Committee preselection meeting;
- IC members will summarize the Applications assigned to them and discuss each Application's merits during the preselection meeting, taking into account the Peer Reviewer comments;

MINI GRANTS Program

- Assign a numerical score in the scoring table supplied by the IF (from 1 to 4, with 1 being the poorest and 4 being the best) for each of the Applications and each evaluation criterion, including written evaluation for each Application assigned (please see details below).

After the IC assigns numerical scores for all Applications in the scoring table supplied by the IF (which already includes individual and average numerical scores from Peer Reviewers for each Application) during the preselection meeting, the scoring table will display the final scoring for all Applications based on the following scoring formula:

- Average Peer Reviewer (APR) score is weighted with 30%
- IC score is weighted with 70%
- Final score = APR x 0.3 + IC x 0.7

The threshold for an Application to be preselected is 21 points (75% of the maximum score, which is 28).

Following the finalization of the scoring table, the IC will confirm the Applications which will advance to the next phase of the review process, which is the Financing decision phase.

At the conclusion of the preselection phase, the IC will:

- Prepare a written evaluation (using the online Investment Committee Pre-Selection Form on the IF Portal) for each Application assigned, based on the defined evaluation criteria and judgment of merit. The IC must give concise, pertinent and well justified comments for each criteria of the evaluation grid, in a wording that will be given directly to the Applicant. Strong and weak points must be reflected. In particular, comments should not include only the summary of the Application, but should provide a critical analysis in line with (but not limited to) the respective questions of the evaluation grid;
- Complete and submit the Investment Committee Pre-Selection Form for each Application on the IF portal and assign the appropriate status (preselected or not preselected) for each of the assigned Applications on the IF online application portal.

Feedback to the Applicants whose Applications were not preselected will include IC comments for each of the evaluation criteria.

The Applicants whose Applications are preselected will be notified about the IC decision via email. For each Applicant, the IC will prepare specific questions which will be used to steer the discussion during the presentations on the final pitch event. These questions will first be sent to the IF following the preselection decision and the IF will distribute them to each preselected Applicant, after which these Applicants are obligated to incorporate the answers to these questions into a PowerPoint presentation template provided by the IF and send it back to the IF at least seven (7) days before the date set by the IF for the final pitch event.

The output of this phase is the “Decision on pre-selection”, signed by the members of the IC or the IC member authorized by the IC, and the Pre-selection evaluation report whose integral part is the “Decision on pre-selection”.

MINI GRANTS Program

If an Applicant wishes to file a fact-based objection to the outcome of the preselection decision (citing evident omissions or mistakes made by the Investment Committee or the IF staff), they may submit a formal letter of objection (email form is acceptable) to the IF, written in English and not exceeding 500 words within 8 calendar days from the day of receiving the original preselection decision notification. The IF will provide an official response to the objection within 14 calendar days from receiving the objection.

3. ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

Once the IC makes the preselection decision, all Applications which were pre-selected will undergo a screening by External environmental and social management provider (ESMP) to verify compliance with the IF Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF).

The environmental review consists of assessment of the Environmental Screening Questionnaires (ESQ) for all pre-selected Application. When an Application (project) requires the preparation of the EMP (Category B), it is the responsibility of the Applicant (who has at that time been informed by the IF that the financing for the Applicant's project was approved) to prepare the EMP and submit it to the ESMP for approval as one of the prerequisites for signing the Financing Agreement. The guidance on the content of the EMP is provided in the Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF) available on-line www.inovacionifond.rs. Environmental and Social Management Framework specifies environmental and social procedures for implemented projects to adhere to, which are consistent with Serbian national legislation. In cases where an Application requires an EMP and the Applicant does not prepare it, that Application would become ineligible for financing. Additionally, all preselected Applications which are categorized as type **A** projects according to the ESMF are considered automatically ineligible for financing under this program.

IF Program Managers:

- Provide the ESMP with the Declaration of impartiality and confidentiality to sign before assigning them the Applications to review for environmental assessment;
- Document and manage potential conflicts of interest situations;
- Assign the preselected Applications to the ESMP and provide access to Application documents required for assessment;
- Assign the appropriate Environmental status on the IF Portal following the completion of the assessment conducted by ESMP.

External environmental and social management provider (ESMP):

- Sign the Declaration of impartiality and confidentiality as provided by the IF;
- Review all assigned preselected Applications for conflicts of interest or the appearance of conflicts of interest in accordance with the IF Confidentiality and Prevention of Conflict of Interest Policy and inform the IF Program Managers if any issues exist;
- Assess all assigned preselected Applications, categorize and verify compliance of corresponding ESQs with the Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF);

MINI GRANTS Program

- Sign ESQs of all assigned preselected Applications, thus confirming their ESMF categorization and compliance;
- For all assigned preselected Applications which require EMPs, provide guidance to the Applicants to formulate the appropriate EMPs and verify that the final EMP is compliant with the Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF).

The output of this phase is a table containing the Environmental status for preselected projects, signed by the ESMP.

4. FINANCING DECISION

All Applicants which have been previously pre-selected by the IF's Investment Committee and satisfied the Environmental screening will present their Applications in front of the independent Investment Committee in person. Based on the results of the live presentation, the Investment Committee will make its final decision. The IC will use the same evaluation criteria stipulated in Section 2 of this document, as well as the same scoring system also found in Section 2.

The IC members will consider each of the evaluation criteria and give a separate score for each. The scoring system utilizes a 4-point rating scale (4 = excellent; 3 = good; 2 = acceptable; 1 = poor). The total score for an Application is the sum of the scores given to each criterion, producing a maximum of 28 points. The IC scoring and comments are to be based on the IC's overall evaluation of the Applications, which includes their holistic assessment of each Application's qualities and an impartial and fact-based judgment of merit.

IF Program Managers:

- Provide all pitch participants with a non-disclosure agreement to sign before the beginning of the final pitch event;
- Attend and oversee administrative and regulatory aspects of the final pitch event;
- Document and manage potential conflicts of interest situations;
- Prepare the final scoring table for the IC to populate with numerical scores for each Application and each evaluation criterion;
- Take meeting minutes during the final pitch event;
- Prepare the Final evaluation report, based on the IC Financing decision.

Investment Committee Members:

- Give an introductory speech to all groups of Applicants who will present during the final pitch event, explaining the concept of the event and its goals;
- Thoroughly prepare for discussions for each preselected Application which will be presented at the final pitch event;
- The IC will provide each Applicant with a 20-minute time window to present their Applications to the IC and answer specific questions that the IC may have for each project;

MINI GRANTS Program

- Following the presentations of preselected Applications, assign a numerical score in the scoring table supplied by the IF (from 1 to 4, with 1 being the poorest and 4 being the best) for each of the Applications and each evaluation criterion;
- Perform the necessary budget clearing for every pre-selected project to optimize the use of available financing in this public call.

After the IC assigns numerical scores for all Applications in the final scoring table supplied by the IF during the final pitch event, the final scoring table will display the final scores for all Applications and sort them from highest to lowest.

Following the finalization of this scoring table and based on the amount of available funds, the IC will confirm the Applications which will be selected for financing, and will also:

- Prepare a written evaluation (using the online Investment Committee Financing Decision Form on the IF Portal) for each Application assigned, based on the defined evaluation criteria and judgment of merit. The IC must give concise, pertinent and well justified comments for each criterion of the evaluation grid, in a wording that will be given directly to the Applicant. Strong and weak points must be reflected. In particular, comments should not include only the summary of the Application, but should provide a critical analysis in line with (but not limited to) the respective questions of the evaluation grid;
- Submit the Investment Committee Financing Decision Form for each Application on the IF portal and assign the appropriate status (Approved or Not approved) for each Application.

Feedback to all Applicants which have presented their Applications on the final pitch event will include the IC written comments from the Financing Decision Form.

Following the Investment Committee's decision to award financing, the Applicant will be notified about the positive decision on financing and subsequently offered to sign the Financing Agreement. In case any significant changes arise within the structure of a Project that was approved for financing before the signing of the Financing Agreement, the independent Investment Committee reserves the right to alter and adjust its decision in accordance with these changes. The Investment Committee will perform the necessary budget clearing for every project selected for financing to optimize the use of available financing in this public call. The Investment Committee reserves the right to modify the milestones (proposed by the Applicant) and to set specific conditions for each individual project. The project budgets for applications selected for financing are subject to additional modifications, including funds relocations and budget clarifications, as stipulated by the IF prior to signing of Financing Agreement.

Please note that, key personnel (key project staff) individual is limited to one Application under the current call for proposals, regardless of the Program. Projects selected for financing have to fulfill these criteria to be eligible to receive financing.

The IF will also notify all pre-selected Applicants whose projects were not awarded about the outcome of the final phase of the evaluation process and they will receive the Investment Committee's comments for their project, for each evaluation criterion.

MINI GRANTS Program

While making the final decision on financing, the IC will take into consideration the total amount of available funds for the public call. If the number of quality Applications that the IC would approve for funding exceeds the total available budget allocated for a particular public call, up to three (3) projects can be selected as reserved projects based on the highest scores from the list of those Applications which were preselected, but not ultimately approved for financing. These Applications are to be subsequently offered financing by the IF should any of the originally approved ones fail to sign the Financing Agreement.

The output of this phase is the “Financing Decision”, signed by the members of the IC or the IC member authorized by the IC, and the Final evaluation report whose integral part is the “Final Decision”.